

**SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT**  
 FOR PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS THAT ARE USING SURFACE WATER SOURCES  
 OR GROUND WATER SOURCES UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER  
 Summary Page

**COPY**

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility

PLANT NAME OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo

I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in this report and that, to the best of my knowledge, the information is true, complete, and accurate.

PWS ID No.: 2400022

Plant ID No.: 20831

Operator's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Report for the Month of: March 2016

Certificate No. & Grade: WS0009456, C

Date: April 10, 2016

TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE			
Total number of turbidity readings:	128	Number of 4-hour periods when plant was off-line:	58
Number of readings above 0.10 NTU:	9	Number of 4-hour periods when plant was on-line but turbidity data was not collected:	0
Number of readings above 0.3 NTU:	0	Number of days when plant was on-line but individual filter turbidity data was not collected:	0
Number of readings above 0.5 NTU:	0	Number of days with readings above 1.0 NTU:	0 (2)
Number of readings above 1.0 NTU:	0	Number of days with readings above 5.0 NTU:	0 (3)
Maximum allowable turbidity level:	0.3		
Percentage of readings above this limit:	0.0 % (1)		
Statistical Summary	Maximum turbidity reading: 0.13 NTU	Average turbidity value:	0.08 NTU
	Minimum turbidity reading: 0.05 NTU	Standard deviation:	0.014 NTU
	CFE 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile value: 0.11 NTU	IFE 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile:	0.178 NTU
Bin Class: <u>2</u>	Crypto Credit Required: <u>4.0 (7A)</u>	Crypto Credit Achieved: <u>3.5 (7B)</u>	Bin 3&4 Credits: <u>0.0 (7C)</u>
Watershed Protection: <u>0.0</u>	Conventional Treatment: <u>3.0</u>	Second Stage Filtration: <u>0.0</u>	
Bank Filtration: <u>0.0</u>	Enhanced Filter Performance: <u>0.5</u>	UV: <u>0.0</u>	
Presedimentation with Coagulation: <u>0.0</u>	Bag and Cartridge Filtration: <u>0.0</u>	Ozone, Chlorine Dioxide: <u>0.0</u>	
Two-Stage Lime Softening: <u>0.0</u>	Membrane Filtration: <u>0.0</u>	Perform. Demonstration: <u>0.0</u>	
Number of days with a low CT for no more than 4.0 consecutive hours: <u>0</u>	Average log inactivation for Giardia: <u>2.20</u>	Average log inactivation for viruses: <u>45.40</u>	
Number of days with a low CT for more than 4.0 consecutive hours: <u>0 (4)</u>	Number of days when profiling data was not collected: <u>0</u>	Number of days when CT data was not collected: <u>0</u>	
Minimum disinfectant residual required leaving the plant: <u>0.5</u> mg/L, measured as Total Chlorine			
Number of days with a low residual for no more than 4.0 consecutive hours: <u>0</u>			
Number of days with a low residual for more than 4.0 consecutive hours: <u>0 (5)</u>	Number of days when disinfectant residual leaving the plant was not properly monitored: <u>0</u>		

DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM			
Minimum disinfectant residual required in distribution system: <u>0.5</u> mg/L, measured as Total Chlorine			
Total number of readings this month: <u>42</u> (at least 31 required) (8)		Percentage of readings with a low residual this month: <u>0.0</u> % (6A)	
Average disinfectant residual value: <u>1.40</u>		Percentage of readings with a low residual last month: <u>0.0</u> % (6B)	
Number of readings with a low residual: <u>0</u>			
Number of readings with no detectable residual: <u>0</u>			

ADDITIONAL REPORTS & WORKSHEETS			
The Page 1 Addendum (Public Notices) is required because there was at least one treatment technique or monitoring/reporting violation reported.			
Additional report(s) for individual filter monitoring required:	<input checked="" type="radio"/> NONE	<input type="radio"/> Filter Profile	<input type="radio"/> Filter Assessment
Additional report(s) for individual filter monitoring submitted:	<input checked="" type="radio"/> NONE	<input type="radio"/> Filter Profile (9)	<input type="radio"/> Filter Assessment (10)
No additional IFE Reports are required this month.			
P.2-Turbidity Data	P.3-Filter Data	P.4&5-Disinfection Data	P.6-TOCMOR
Alternate Technol.			

**SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT**  
 TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY  
 WATER SUPPLY DIVISION/PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SECTION (MC-155)  
 P.O. BOX 13087, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-3087

**SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT**  
 FOR PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS THAT ARE USING SURFACE WATER SOURCES  
 OR GROUND WATER SOURCES UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER (cont.)  
 Summary Page Addendum (Violations and Public Notices)

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility

PLANT NAME OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo

PWS ID No.: 2400022

Plant ID No.: 20831

Month: March

Year: 2016

PUBLIC NOTICES					
VIOLATION TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATION	VIOLATION OCCURRED?	NOTICE TO TCEQ	NOTICE TO CUSTOMER *	VIOLATION DATES
			DATE OF NOTICE	DATE OF NOTICE PENDING	
TREATMENT TECHNIQUE	Were more than 5.0% of the turbidity readings above the acceptable level? - see (1) on the Summary Page	No			
	Were there any days with turbidity readings above 1.0 NTU? - see (2) on the Summary Page	No			
	Were there any days with turbidity readings above 5.0 NTU? - see (3) on the Summary Page	No			
	Were there any periods when the plant failed to meet the CT requirements for more than 4.0 consecutive hours? - see (4) on the Summary Page	No			
	Were there any periods when the residuals leaving the plant fell below the acceptable level for more than 4.0 consecutive hours? - see (5) on the Summary Page	No			
	Were more than 5.0% of the residuals in the distribution system below the acceptable level for two months in a row? - see (6A) and (6B) on the Summary Page	No			
	Was Cryptosporidium removal credit less than required based on Bin Classification? - see (7A), (7B), and (7C) on the Summary Page	Yes	03/04/16	03/11/16	NO
MONITORING & REPORTING	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the required Combined Filter Effluent (CFE) turbidity readings? - see the Turbidity Data Page	No			
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all the CT data needed to evaluate the level of microbial inactivation achieved? - see the Disinfection Data Page	No			
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report the minimum disinfectant residual entering the distribution system? - see the Turbidity Data Page	No			
	Did the system fail to collect enough samples in the distribution system to meet the minimum disinfectant monitoring requirements? - see (8) on the Summary Page	No			
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report the maximum individual filter effluent (IFE) turbidity level produced by each filter? - see the Filter Data Page	No			
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report the IFE turbidity level 4-hours after beginning a filter run? - see the Filter Data Page	Not Applicable			
	Did the plant fail to submit a Filter Profile Report if one was required? - see (9) on the Summary page	No			
	Did the plant fail to submit a Filter Assessment Report if one was required? - see (10) on the Summary Page	No			
	Did the plant fail to submit a Comprehensive Performance Evaluation Request if one was required? - see (11) on the Summary Page	No			
Did the plant fail to collect at least one Total Organic Carbon sample set? - see TOCMOR Page	No				

\* Treatment technique violation notices are due no later than the end of the next business day. Please include a copy if possible.  
 \* Copies of each Public Notice must accompany this report if they have already been issued.

SUBMITTED BY: TOMAS SANCHEZ JR.

Certificate No. and Grade: WS0009466, C

Date: April 10, 2016

**SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT**  
 FOR PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS THAT ARE USING SURFACE WATER SOURCES  
 OR GROUND WATER SOURCES UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER (cont.)  
 Summary Page Addendum (Violations and Public Notices)

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility

PLANT NAME OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo

PWS ID No.: 2400022

Plant ID No.: 20831

Month: March

Year: 2016

PUBLIC NOTICES						
VIOLATION TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATION	VIOLATION OCCURRED?	NOTICE TO TCEQ <sup>§</sup>	NOTICE TO CUSTOMER <sup>*</sup>		VIOLATION DATES
			DATE OF NOTICE	DATE OF NOTICE	PENDING	
MONITORING & REPORTING FOR ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGIES	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data required to evaluate its watershed protection program?	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data required to evaluate its bank filters? - see the Prefilters worksheet	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data needed to evaluate its presedimentation basin? - see the Prefilters worksheet	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data needed to evaluate its two stage softening process? - see the Prefilters worksheet	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data needed to evaluate its bag or cartridge filters? - see the Bag, Cartridge worksheet	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data needed to evaluate its 2nd stage filters? - see the 2ndStageFilters worksheet	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data needed to evaluate its membrane filters? - see the membrane worksheets	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data needed to evaluate its UV reactors? - see the UV-ISA and UV-CDA worksheets	Not Applicable				
	Did the plant fail to report the data needed to evaluate its UV sensors or UV Transmittance analyzers? - see the UV-Sensors and UVT worksheets	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all the CT data needed to evaluate the level of <i>Crptosporidium</i> inactivation achieved? - see the Crypto CT worksheet	Not Applicable				
Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data required by the Demonstration of Performance approval letter issued by the TCEQ?	Not Applicable					

<sup>§</sup> Treatment technique violation notices are due no later than the end of the next business day. Please include a copy if possible.  
<sup>\*</sup> Copies of each Public Notice must accompany this report if they have already been issued.

SUBMITTED BY: Tomas Sanchez Jr.

Certificate No. and Grade: WS0009456, C

Date: April 10, 2016

# SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT

FOR PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS THAT ARE USING SURFACE WATER SOURCES  
OR GROUND WATER SOURCES UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER (cont.)

*Turbidity Data Page*

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility PLANT NAME OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo

PWS ID No.: 2400022 Plant ID No.: 20831 Connections: 1,918

Month: March Year: 2016 Population: 6,713

PERFORMANCE DATA																			
Date	Raw Water Pumpage (MGD)	Treated Water Pumpage (MGD)	RAW WATER ANALYSES		SETTLED WATER TURBIDITY (Optional Data)						FINISHED WATER QUALITY								
			NTU	Alk.	Basin No.						Combined Filter Effluent Turbidity						Lowest Residual	Time	
					1	2	3	4	5	6	NTU1	NTU2	NTU3	NTU4	NTU5	NTU6			
1	1.144	0.755	17	140	1.7	0.9						0.06	0.10	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.06	1.9	
2	1.238	0.751	23	140	1.5	0.9						0.07	X	X	0.07	0.08	0.07	1.7	
3	1.212	0.791	26	140	1.3	0.9						0.08	0.10	X	0.08	0.09	0.08	1.3	
4	1.108	0.705	27	120	1.4	1.0						0.07	X	X	0.08	0.10	0.09	1.4	
5	1.385	0.807	24	100	1.2	0.8						0.09	X	X	0.09	0.08	0.10	1.7	
6	1.036	0.697	22	120	0.9	0.7						0.08	0.12	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08	1.8	
7	1.208	0.768	21	120	1.4	0.9						X	X	X	0.08	0.08	0.09	1.9	
8	1.183	0.805	27	120	1.6	1.2						0.07	X	0.08	0.10	0.11	X	1.4	
9	1.172	0.630	28	120	1.4	3.0						0.11	X	X	0.10	0.11	0.09	1.3	
10	1.075	0.608	34	140	1.4	1.2						X	X	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.08	1.5	
11	1.010	0.580	47	140	1.4	1.0						X	X	X	0.09	0.09	0.09	1.2	
12	0.977	0.620	33	120	2.1	1.2						X	X	X	0.07	0.07	0.07	1.2	
13	0.950	0.623	25	140	1.2	1.0						X	X	X	0.07	0.06	0.06	1.0	
14	1.133	0.643	30	140	5.7	1.5						0.05	X	X	0.07	0.09	0.12	1.0	
15	1.275	0.829	34	140	0.3	1.0						0.11	0.11	X	0.11	0.09	0.09	1.1	
16	1.147	0.745	35	140	0.8	1.1						0.08	X	0.13	0.08	0.07	0.07	1.2	
17	0.987	0.704	36	140	0.7	1.6						0.08	X	X	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.9	
18	1.155	0.677	28	140	0.9	1.3						0.08	X	X	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.7	
19	0.896	0.500	35	120	0.6	1.0						0.09	X	X	0.09	0.08	X	1.2	
20	0.991	0.684	43	140	0.7	1.0						X	0.10	0.08	X	X	0.08	0.9	
21	1.263	0.759	49	140	0.9	1.4						0.08	X	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.7	
22	1.056	0.707	37	140	1.4	1.3						X	X	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.07	2.2	
23	1.049	0.800	28	120	1.0	1.2						0.07	X	X	0.06	0.06	0.06	1.7	
24	1.260	0.742	31	120	1.0	1.0						0.06	X	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.08	1.0	
25	1.231	0.774	28	120	1.2	1.4						0.07	X	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.07	1.9	
26	1.230	0.781	33	120	0.9	0.6						0.07	X	X	0.07	0.08	0.07	1.2	
27	1.044	0.697	35	140	1.0	0.5						0.07	X	X	0.08	0.07	0.06	1.1	
28	1.407	0.824	31	140	0.6	0.6						0.07	X	X	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.8	
29	1.111	0.710	35	140	0.6	0.9						X	X	X	0.07	0.07	0.07	1.6	
30	1.131	0.731	25	140	0.5	0.7						X	X	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.07	1.0	
31	1.125	0.766	20	120	0.9	1.3						0.07	X	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	1.3	
Total	35.189	22.213																	
Avg	1.135	0.717																	
Max	1.407	0.829																	
Min	0.896	0.500																	

NOTE: ONLY use the "Time" column to show the length of time that the disinfectant residual entering the distribution system fell below the acceptable level.

SUBMITTED BY: Tomás Sanchez Jr. Certificate No. and Grade: WS0009456, C Date: April 10, 2016

# SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT

FOR PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS THAT ARE USING SURFACE WATER SOURCES  
OR GROUND WATER SOURCES UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER (cont.)

Filter Data Page

PUBLIC WATER  
SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility  
PWS ID No.: 2400022 Plant ID No.: 20831

PLANT NAME  
OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo  
Month: March Year: 2016

PERFORMANCE DATA																					
INDIVIDUAL FILTER TURBIDITY																					
Date	Filter No. 1		Filter No. 2		Filter No. 3		Filter No. 4		Filter No. 5		Filter No. 6		Filter No. 7		Filter No. 8		Filter No. 9		Filter No. 10		
	Max	4 Hrs	Max	4 Hrs																	
1	0.10		0.10		0.13		0.10														
2	0.11		0.14		0.12		0.16														
3	0.13		0.14		0.16		0.13														
4	0.16		0.17		0.19		0.16														
5	0.13		0.30		0.14		0.12														
6	0.13		0.18		0.17		0.14														
7	0.10		0.13		0.20		0.13														
8	0.10		0.12		0.18		0.13														
9	0.14		0.14		0.13		0.14														
10	0.14		0.15		0.21		0.25														
11	0.12		0.11		0.12		0.13														
12	0.11		0.11		0.12		0.13														
13	0.08		0.11		0.09		0.11														
14	0.01		0.13		0.15		0.10														
15	0.15		0.14		0.13		0.12														
16	0.14		0.12		0.15		0.09														
17	0.14		0.13		0.13		0.11														
18	0.14		0.12		0.13		0.13														
19	0.14		0.20		0.13		0.09														
20	0.13		0.16		0.10		0.09														
21	0.15		0.13		0.13		0.17														
22	0.09		0.11		0.11		0.16														
23	0.11		0.08		0.11		0.10														
24	0.14		0.11		0.12		0.13														
25	0.08		0.11		0.10		0.11														
26	0.10		0.16		0.10		0.16														
27	0.11		0.10		0.12		0.09														
28	0.11		0.16		0.13		0.13														
29	0.11		0.17		0.13		0.10														
30	0.12		0.16		0.14		0.10														
31	0.10		0.17		0.11		0.08														

  

SUMMARY & COMPLIANCE ACTIONS	Criteria	Filter No.										Plant										
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10											
	Number of days with event(s) above 0.5 NTU at 4.0 hrs this month																					
	Number of days with event(s) above 1.0 NTU this month	0	0	0	0																	
	Number of days with event(s) above 1.0 NTU last month	0	0	0	0																	
	Number of days with event(s) above 1.0 NTU two months ago	0	0	0	0																	
	Total number of days with event(s) above 1.0 NTU in three months	0	0	0	0																	
	Number of events above 2.0 NTU this month											0										
	Number of events above 2.0 NTU last month											0										
	Does the filter/plant have an approved Corrective Action Plan?	N	N	N	N																	N
	Is the plant required to submit a Filter Profile Report?	N	N	N	N																	
	Is the plant required to submit a Filter Assessment Report?	N	N	N	N																	
	Is the plant required to submit a Request for Compliance CPE?											N										

SUBMITTED BY: Tomas Sanchez Jr. Certificate No. WS0009456, C and Grade: WS0009456, C Date: April 10, 2016

# SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT

FOR PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS THAT ARE USING SURFACE WATER SOURCES  
OR GROUND WATER SOURCES UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER (cont.)

Disinfection Data Page

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility  
PWS ID No.: 2400022 Plant ID No.: 20831

PLANT NAME OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo  
Month: March Year: 2016

DISINFECTION PROCESS PARAMETERS									
APPROVED CT STUDY PARAMETERS					PERFORMANCE STANDARDS				
Parameters	Disinfection Zones				D4	Log Inactivations			
	D1A	D1B	D2	D3		Giardia lamblia Cysts		Viruses	
Flow Rate (MGD)	1.250	1.250	0.625	1.250		0.5		2.0	
T <sub>10</sub> (minutes)	7.9	7.9	21.0	50.4					

PERFORMANCE DATA									
DISINFECTION PROCESS DATA									
Date	Disinfectant	C (mg/L)	Flow (MGD)	Temp (°C)	pH	Giardia Log	Virus Log	Inact. Ratio	Time (min)
1	FCL D1A	0.8	0.895	21.6	7.2	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.5	0.895	22.0	7.1	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.8	0.447	21.0	7.3	2.20	46.72	4.40	
	CLA D3	2.6	0.895	22.3	7.2	/	/	/	/
	D4								
2	FCL D1A	0.5	0.890	22.2	7.1	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.6	0.890	22.3	7.3	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.6	0.445	22.3	7.3	2.10	41.39	4.21	
	CLA D3	2.9	0.890	22.4	7.3	/	/	/	/
	D4								
3	FCL D1A	0.4	0.841	22.2	7.2	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.5	0.841	22.6	7.2	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.5	0.420	21.7	7.3	2.07	36.19	4.15	
	CLA D3	3.1	0.841	23.9	7.3	/	/	/	/
	D4								
4	FCL D1A	0.5	0.825	21.0	7.2	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.6	0.825	21.0	7.2	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.6	0.412	21.0	7.4	2.11	40.81	4.23	
	CLA D3	3.3	0.825	21.0	7.3	/	/	/	/
	D4								
5	FCL D1A	0.7	0.827	21.8	7.3	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.5	0.827	21.8	7.2	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.4	0.413	22.2	7.3	2.03	36.00	4.06	
	CLA D3	3.2	0.827	22.2	7.5	/	/	/	/
	D4								
6	FCL D1A	0.9	0.844	22.1	7.5	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	1.0	0.844	22.8	7.3	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.7	0.422	22.0	7.5	2.43	57.21	4.86	
	CLA D3	2.4	0.844	23.3	7.6	/	/	/	/
	D4								
7	FCL D1A	0.8	0.857	22.9	7.3	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.7	0.857	22.9	7.2	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.7	0.428	23.1	7.6	2.59	58.82	5.18	
	CLA D3	2.9	0.857	23.7	7.5	/	/	/	/
	D4								
8	FCL D1A	0.4	0.867	24.3	7.0	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.6	0.867	24.4	6.9	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.7	0.433	24.0	7.3	2.57	54.12	5.13	
	CLA D3	2.3	0.867	25.1	7.1	/	/	/	/
	D4								

PERFORMANCE DATA									
DISINFECTION PROCESS DATA									
Date	Disinfectant	C (mg/L)	Flow (MGD)	Temp (°C)	pH	Giardia Log	Virus Log	Inact. Ratio	Time (min)
9	FCL D1A	0.3	0.894	22.5	7.0	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.7	0.894	22.5	6.9	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.5	0.447	22.7	7.0	1.66	29.83	3.33	
	CLA D3	2.2	0.894	22.4	7.1	/	/	/	/
	D4								
10	FCL D1A	0.4	0.882	20.8	7.4	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.3	0.882	20.8	7.3	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.9	0.441	20.8	7.6	1.96	46.10	3.92	
	CLA D3	2.5	0.882	20.7	7.6	/	/	/	/
	D4								
11	FCL D1A	0.5	0.880	21.5	7.5	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.5	0.880	21.9	7.4	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	1.0	0.440	21.9	7.6	2.47	61.78	4.94	
	CLA D3	2.5	0.880	22.3	7.5	/	/	/	/
	D4								
12	FCL D1A	0.5	0.851	21.7	7.4	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.8	0.851	21.6	7.4	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	1.5	0.425	21.3	7.3	3.26	83.90	6.51	
	CLA D3	2.5	0.851	21.8	7.5	/	/	/	/
	D4								
13	FCL D1A	0.4	0.828	22.5	7.3	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.5	0.828	22.6	7.2	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.8	0.414	23.0	7.5	2.27	53.64	4.53	
	CLA D3	2.1	0.828	22.6	7.6	/	/	/	/
	D4								
14	FCL D1A	0.6	0.860	23.5	6.9	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.5	0.860	23.7	6.8	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.8	0.430	23.0	6.9	2.92	59.25	5.85	
	CLA D3	2.2	0.860	23.7	7.0	/	/	/	/
	D4								
15	FCL D1A	0.6	0.860	22.2	6.9	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.6	0.860	22.6	6.8	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.6	0.430	21.8	7.0	2.43	51.72	4.86	
	CLA D3	1.7	0.860	22.5	7.1	/	/	/	/
	D4								
16	FCL D1A	0.7	0.802	23.5	7.0	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.8	0.802	23.5	7.0	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.9	0.401	22.9	7.2	3.23	68.74	6.46	
	CLA D3	2.4	0.802	24.3	7.1	/	/	/	/
	D4								

NOTE: = ONLY use the "Time" column to show the length of time that the total inactivation ratio was less than 1.00.

SUBMITTED BY: Tomás Sanchez Jr.

Certificate No. and Grade: WS0009456, C

Date: April 10, 2016

# SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT

FOR PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS THAT ARE USING SURFACE WATER SOURCES  
OR GROUND WATER SOURCES UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER (cont.)  
Disinfection Data Page (cont.)

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility  
PWS ID No.: 2400022 Plant ID No.: 20831

PLANT NAME OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo  
Month: March Year: 2016

DISINFECTION PROCESS PARAMETERS									
APPROVED CT STUDY PARAMETERS						PERFORMANCE STANDARDS			
Parameters	Disinfection Zones					Log Inactivations			
	D1A	D1B	D2	D3	D4	Giardia lamblia Cysts		Virus	
Flow Rate (MGD)	1.25	1.25	0.63	1.25		0.5		2.0	
T <sub>10</sub> (minutes)	7.90	7.90	21.00	50.40					

PERFORMANCE DATA									
DISINFECTION PROCESS DATA									
Date	Disinfectant	C (mg/L)	Flow (MGD)	Temp (°C)	pH	Giardia Log	Virus Log	Inact. Ratio	Time
17	FCL D1A	0.3	0.822	23.4	7.0	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.5	0.822	23.6	6.9	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.4	0.411	23.2	7.2	1.81	32.21	3.63	
	CLA D3	2.1	0.822	24.2	7.1	/	/	/	/
	D4								
18	FCL D1A	0.3	0.832	24.3	6.8	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.3	0.832	24.5	6.7	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.4	0.416	23.9	7.1	1.50	29.54	3.00	
	CLA D3	1.1	0.832	24.9	7.0	/	/	/	/
	D4								
19	FCL D1A	0.6	0.843	23.3	7.0	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.5	0.843	22.5	6.8	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.6	0.421	22.3	7.1	2.13	42.91	4.27	
	CLA D3	2.1	0.843	22.0	6.9	/	/	/	/
	D4								
20	FCL D1A	0.7	0.829	21.6	6.9	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.7	0.829	21.6	6.9	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.6	0.414	21.6	7.2	2.36	47.18	4.72	
	CLA D3	2.4	0.829	22.3	7.0	/	/	/	/
	D4								
21	FCL D1A	0.9	0.817	19.7	7.0	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.9	0.817	19.4	6.9	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.6	0.408	20.0	7.1	2.38	51.98	4.77	
	CLA D3	2.1	0.817	19.8	7.0	/	/	/	/
	D4								
22	FCL D1A	0.7	0.782	22.8	7.1	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.6	0.782	22.6	7.1	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.6	0.391	22.9	7.2	2.64	52.81	5.28	
	CLA D3	2.5	0.782	24.2	7.2	/	/	/	/
	D4								
23	FCL D1A	0.5	0.779	21.7	7.2	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.5	0.779	22.4	7.1	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.6	0.389	22.2	7.2	2.54	49.73	5.09	
	CLA D3	2.4	0.779	24.5	7.2	/	/	/	/
	D4								
24	FCL D1A	0.4	0.802	22.3	7.2	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.4	0.802	22.2	7.1	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.5	0.401	22.5	7.2	1.95	37.23	3.91	
	CLA D3	2.4	0.802	22.8	7.1	/	/	/	/
	D4								

PERFORMANCE DATA									
DISINFECTION PROCESS DATA									
Date	Disinfectant	C (mg/L)	Flow (MGD)	Temp (°C)	pH	Giardia Log	Virus Log	Inact. Ratio	Time
25	FCL D1A	0.2	0.820	21.3	7.2	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.4	0.820	21.3	7.1	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.4	0.410	21.3	7.3	1.54	27.54	3.08	
	CLA D3	2.4	0.820	21.4	7.2	/	/	/	/
	D4								
26	FCL D1A	0.6	0.830	21.5	7.1	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.7	0.830	21.5	7.0	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.6	0.415	21.2	7.3	2.07	42.61	4.14	
	CLA D3	2.4	0.830	21.4	7.2	/	/	/	/
	D4								
27	FCL D1A	0.2	0.843	22.3	7.0	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.3	0.843	22.4	6.9	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.5	0.421	22.8	7.0	1.78	32.08	3.57	
	CLA D3	2.3	0.843	22.2	7.0	/	/	/	/
	D4								
28	FCL D1A	0.5	0.866	22.8	7.0	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.7	0.866	22.6	6.8	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.3	0.433	22.5	7.0	1.44	24.80	2.87	
	CLA D3	1.8	0.866	23.3	7.0	/	/	/	/
	D4								
29	FCL D1A	0.2	0.835	22.8	7.1	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.4	0.835	23.2	7.1	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.5	0.417	23.2	7.3	1.95	34.17	3.90	
	CLA D3	2.8	0.835	23.7	7.2	/	/	/	/
	D4								
30	FCL D1A	0.3	0.813	22.6	7.1	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.3	0.813	22.7	7.0	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.3	0.406	22.8	7.1	1.07	21.36	2.14	
	CLA D3	1.0	0.813	22.6	7.3	/	/	/	/
	D4								
31	FCL D1A	0.4	0.803	23.4	7.1	/	/	/	/
	FCL D1B	0.7	0.803	24.5	7.1	/	/	/	/
	FCL D2	0.8	0.401	23.5	7.2	2.78	56.93	5.55	
	CLA D3	2.3	0.803	24.8	7.2	/	/	/	/
	D4								
						Max	3.26	63.90	6.51
						Min	1.07	21.36	2.14
						Avg	2.20	45.40	4.40
						SD	0.49	13.66	0.99

NOTE: = ONLY use the "Time=" column to show the length of time that the total inactivation ratio was less than 1.00.

SUBMITTED BY: Thomas Sanchez Jr.

Certificate No. and Grade: WS0009456, C

Date: April 10, 2016

# MONTHLY TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON REMOVAL REPORT (TOCMOR) FOR SURFACE WATER OR GROUND WATER UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER SYSTEMS

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility  
PWS ID No.: 2400022

PLANT NAME OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo  
Month: March Year: 2016

Type of treatment:  Conventional  Unconventional explain: \_\_\_\_\_

Note: Systems are required to run one TOC Sample Set every month. Additional space is provided for those systems that do additional sampling

Test No.	Test Date	Monthly TOC Sample Set			Actual % TOC Removed	Step 1 Required % Removal	Step 1 Removal Ratio	Optional data		INDIVIDUAL SAMPLE COMPLIANCE REMOVAL RATIO
		Raw Alkalinity	Raw TOC	Treated TOC				Step 2 Required % Removal	Step 2 Removal Ratio	
		Enter the Sample Set results			calculated	calculated from matrix	calculated			calculated
1	3/7	120	3.12	2.32	25.6	25	1.03			1.03
2										
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										
26										
27										
28										
29										
30										
31										
Avg		120.00	3.12	2.32	25.64		1.03			1.03
Max		120.00	3.12	2.32	25.64		1.03			1.03
Min		120.00	3.12	2.32	25.64		1.03			1.03

### TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON (TOC) REMOVAL SUMMARY

TOC Summary					Monthly Compliance Ratio
Raw Water Alkalinity	Raw Water TOC	Treated Water TOC	TOC % Removal	ACC # used	
120	3.12	2.32	25.6	NA	1.03

I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in this report and that, to the best of my knowledge, the information is true, complete, and accurate.

Operator's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Certificate No. and Grade: WS0009456, C Date: April 10, 2016

**Submit the report by the 10th of the month following the reporting period to:**  
TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY  
WATER SUPPLY DIVISION/PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SECTION (MC-155)  
P.O. BOX 13087, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-3087